

St. Leonard's Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy

This strategy is based on the long-term approach to pupil premium planning recommended by the DfE and the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF).

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	St Leonard's Primary School
Number of pupils in school	214
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	27 pupils 12.6%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	3 years
Date this statement was published	November 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	November 2024
Statement authorised by	Karen Roberts
Pupil premium lead	Claire Callaghan
Governor / Trustee lead	Lesley Howell

Funding overview

Detail	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£26,960	£32,680	
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£3,850	£1,880	
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	0		
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£30,810	£34,560	

Our philosophy

We believe in maximising the use of the pupil premium grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the SDP. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn.

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

Our priorities

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Ensuring an 'outstanding' teacher is in every class
- Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
- Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as attendance and behaviour
- Ensuring that the PPG reaches the pupils who need it most.

Barriers to future attainment

Academic barriers to attainment	Non-academic barriers to attainment
Limited progress in writing	Lack of learning motivation and desire to succeed and achieve.
Closing the gaps with fundamental mathematical skills	Lack of focus and confidence due to poor mental health and wellbeing
Development of teaching strategies to suit all learners	Social interaction and difficulty with peers
A variety of special educational needs	Difficult independent learning behaviours
	Poor attendance

Our implementation process

We believe in selecting a small number of priorities and giving them the best chance of success. We also believe in evidence-based interventions and learning from our experiences, which is why we utilise annual light-touch reviews to ensure our approach is effective and we can cease or amend interventions that are not having the intended impact.

We will:

Explore

- Identify a key priority that we can address
- Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
- Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

Prepare

- Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
- Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
- Make practical preparations

Deliver

- Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
- Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
- Monitor delivery and adaptation of interventions

Sustain

- Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
- Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices

Our tiered approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

- 1. Teaching
- 2. Targeted academic support
- 3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen three interventions. This focused approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

Quality of teaching

- 1. Professional development: Individual feedback following regular monitoring of all subjects to support teachers but with a particular emphasis on literacy and vocabulary
- **2.** Development of teaching and learning strategies and approaches to support learners in fundamental areas.

3. Targeted academic support

- 1. Structured interventions: Introducing targeted English teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations
- 2. Structured interventions: Introducing targeted Maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations

Wider strategies

- 1. HOPE project: Providing support for those pupils with social emotional and mental health needs
- 2. Curriculum enrichment to help close Cultural Capital gaps
- 3. Commando Joe building character, self-worth, self-motivation and resilience.

Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is time-costly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

Individual targets are set for each pupil in receipt of the PPG and their progress towards achieving these targets is analysed at the end of interventions. (PAG)

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with subject teachers.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The headteacher is responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

Accountability

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school is held to account for the spending of the PPG through the focus in Ofsted inspections on the progress and attainment of the wider pupil premium eligible cohort; however, they will not look for evidence of the grant's impact on individual pupils, or on precise interventions.

The school publishes its strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website.

The school publishes a link to the school and college performance tables and the schools' performance tables page on the school website.

Our funding

		Funding summ	ary:	Year 1 20)22-2023	
Total number of pupils	26	PPG received per pupil	£1,385		Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	FY 2022/23 - £26,960 gives £15,727 for Sept 2022- March 2023 FY 2023/24 - £32,680 gives £13,617 for April 2023- August 2023
	Number of pupils eligible for PPG		26		Actual PPG budget	Total for AY 2022/23 = £29,344
		Funding estima	ate:	Year 2 20	23-2024	
Estimated	pupil numb	oers		28		
Estimated	number of	pupils eligible for PF	PG	28		
Estimated	funding			£32,680 gives £13,617 for April 2023- August 2023		
Actual num	ber of pupils					
Actual PPG	received					
		Funding estima	ate:	Year 3 20	24-2025	
Estimated	pupil numb	pers		30		
Estimated	Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG					
Estimated funding						
Actual num	ber of pupils					
Actual PPG	received					

Intervention planning in full

Intervention:	Structured interventions: Introducing targeted Maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations							
Category:	Targeted academic support	Targeted academic support						
Intended outcomes:	To improve Maths skills to close the gap between pupils and their non PP peers		Success criteria:	Targeted children will make at least expected progre maths. Children not on the SEND register will achiev least ARE				
Staff lead:	Anita Hensley	Hensley Claire Callaghan						
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: Children will be supported through timetabled small group intervention to address gaps in maths. Children will have specific targets and evidence based programmes such as Plus 1 and Power of 2 will be used. Children will be supported to develop basic maths skills through precision teaching and scaffolding and additional input to address gaps.	year 2 (in touch rev Children verthrough ti intervention Children verthere vidence 1 and Pov will be su skills thro	Year 2 will implement this in light of the year 1 a iew): will continue to be sometabled small groon to address gaps will have specific tarbased programmes wer of 2 will be used prorted to developing precision teaching and additional input	supported up in maths. rgets and s such as Plus d. Children basic maths ning and	Year 3 How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):			

Annual review notes:

End of year Dis data

Summer

- Focus year groups Y1 and Y4
- Year 3 -8 from PAG but in line with Baseline
- Positive gains from Baseline in Y1 +50, Y2
 +100, Y4 +10, Y5 +34, Y6 +17

Annual review notes:

k	KS2 - 56%								
_	July 23								
	WT	AT	GD	AT+	GAP (PAG)	GAP (Base)			
	50	50	0	50	0	+50			
	0	0	100	100	0	+100			
Ī	33	33	33	67	-8	0			
Π	50	50	0	50	+50	+10			
	33	50	17	67	+34	+34			
	0	100	0	100	+20	+17			
Ī									

Light-touch review notes

Detailed class action plans were written in response to identified gaps in knowledge and understanding as well as those children working below ARE.

Impact of interventions were measured every term by SLT.

Observations and feedback have ensured interventions are continually monitored and have become more effective and targeted.

Final review notes:

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expAs expecteBelow expe	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£0 TA time - £21.16 per hour 30 hours per week = £635 x 39 weeks = £24,757 Purchase resources - £ per book	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ☐ Decrease ☐ Remain the same ☐ £0	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □ £0	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£24,757					
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£0 TA time - £21.16 per hour 30 hours per week = £635 x 39 weeks = £24,757 Purchase resources - Cost of Plus 1 and Power of 2 books	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	£0 Increased Decreased Remained the same	Year 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	£0 Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	

		in total - £120 (3 of each)		
	Total actual expenditure:	£24,877		

Intervention:	Structured interventions: Introducing targeted English teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations						
Category:	Targeted academic support						
Intended outcomes:	To improve English skills to close the gap be pupils and their non PP peers	dren will make at least expected progress in riting. Children not on the SEND register will st ARE					
Staff lead:	Anita Hensley 2022	Claire Callaghan 2023					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3				
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):				
Implementation	Children will be supported through timetabled small group intervention to address gaps in reading, writing, spelling and grammar. Children will have specific targets and evidence based programmes such as precision teaching, Family Fischer Trust, AR reading, Cued spellings, bubble writing and SOS spellings.	Children will continue to be supported through timetabled small group intervention to address gaps in reading, writing, spelling and grammar. Children will have specific targets and evidence based programmes such as precision teaching, Family Fischer Trust, AR reading, Cued spellings, bubble writing and SOS					
	Targeted children will receive pre-teaching and additional scaffolding to support with learning. The Read Write Inc programme will be used to support children working towards as an additional strategy. EP Approach was implemented in Year 4	spellings. Targeted children will receive pre-teaching and additional scaffolding to support with learning. The Read Write Inc programme will be used to support children working towards as an additional strategy.					
	Progress made with interventions will be closely monitored and programmes will be adapted as required.	EP Approach will be rolled out in school. Progress made with interventions will be closely monitored and programmes will be adapted as required.					

Annual review notes:

<u>Summer</u>

- Disadvantaged writing a focus in Y1, 3,4,5
- Focus year 1 -50 from PAG and Baseline (2 children)
- Focus Year 3 -16 from PAG and -33 from Baseline – Year 4 – 20 from PAG but in line with Baseline
- Positive gains in Y5 +17 (PAG) and +25 (Base) and Y6 +42 from Baseline and +25 from PAG

K	KS2 - 55%									
		July 23								
	WT	AT	GD	AT+	GAP	GAP				
	100	0	0	•	(PAG) -50	(Base) -50				
	0	0	100	100	0	0				
	66	17	17	34	-16	-33				
	100	0	0	0	-20	0				
	50	50	0	50	+17	+25				
	25	75	0	75	+25	+42				

Light-touch review notes

Detailed class action plans were written in response to identified gaps in knowledge and understanding as well as those children working below ARE.

Impact of interventions were measured every term by SLT.

Observations and feedback have ensured interventions are continually monitored and have become more effective and targeted.

Annual review notes: Final review notes:

Light-touch review overall assessment	 The intervention is per Far above exp Above expected As expected I Below expected Far below exp 	oectations □ ations □ □ ations <mark>□</mark>	Far aboveAbove expAs expecteBelow exp	 Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ 		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1 Total anticipated expenditure:	£ TA time £21.16 per hour 30 hours per week = £635 x 39 weeks = £24,757	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □	
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£ 30 hours per week = £635 x 39 weeks = £24,757	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	£ Increased Decreased Remained the same	Year 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£24,757					

Intervention:	HOPE project: Providing support for those pupils with social emotional and mental health needs					
Category:	Wider strategies					
Intended outcomes:				disruptive behaviour in class and playtime Ildren speak positively about playtime and Phips.		
Staff lead:	Anita Hensley	Claire Callaghan 2023	onwards			
	Year 1	Year 2		Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this in year 2 (in light of the year light-touch review):		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementation	Targeted children will have a specific 1:1 programme through the HOPE project (Helping Our Pupil's Emotions) as well as daily check-ins (as required dependant on the individual). Some children will attend weekly small group sessions (i.e. anger management, anxiety, social skills). Some children may access all 3 if needed.	To continue with the same high level of support for targeted children through 1:1 HOPE project (Helping Our Pupil's Emotions) sessions as well as daily check-ins (as required dependant on the individual). Some children will attend weekly small group sessions (i.e. anger management, anxiety, social skills).				
	HOPE sessions will be timetabled and there will be a designated lunchtime every week for children to access if they choose to.	Some children may access needed. HOPE sessions will be tim	etabled and			
	Targets will be set and children will receive emotion coaching to enable them to name and understand the feelings they are	there will be a designated lunchtime every week for children to access if they choose to.				

experiencing. Regular feedback with home (as appropriate) as well as supporting the family will increase the impact of the intervention.

Regular training to upskill staff (including lunchtime supervisors) about mental health and strategies and intervention to support our pupils will lead to a supportive, whole school ethos where children are supported to talk about their feelings and all staff understand that behaviour is communication and try and get to the root of the issue.

Support for parents will be offered through mental health and wellbeing coffee afternoons with focus topics such a "Supporting your child with anger, "Raising your child's self-esteem" as well as offering parents support with emotion coaching mentoring and strategies to support their child at home.

Targets will be set and children will receive emotion coaching to enable them to name and understand the feelings they are experiencing. Regular feedback with home (as appropriate) as well as supporting the family will increase the impact of the intervention.

Regular training and mentoring will continue to upskill staff (including lunchtime supervisors) about mental health and strategies and intervention to support our pupils will lead to a supportive, whole school ethos where children are supported to talk about their feelings and all staff understand that behaviour is communication and try and get to the root of the issue.

Coffee afternoons will continue to be developed to meet the current needs of issues identified by parents (through parent voice) and delivered in school.

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	HOPE sessions have been delivered on a 1:1 basis with children working to a plan with agreed targets. Regular 2-way communication between home and school ensures sessions are effective and feedback has been very positive from children and parents. Outcome measures evidence progress and this translates to outside of the sessions.		
Light-touch review notes	Mental health and wellbeing coffee afternoons have been well received and attended. Feedback has been very positive-topics delivered have included Anger, selfesteem and friendship. Parents have been provided with resources and strategies that they have been able to implement at home therefore impacting positively on the child's wellbeing. These were delivered by school staff and supported by visitors from the MHST (Mental Health Support Team), Action for Children and a CAMHS therapist which enabled parents to receive a wealth of experience and support.		
	Children in particular year groups have had key difficulties including friendship issues so group sessions were delivered and whole class support was delivered additionally through the MHST (Mental Health Support Team)		

Light-touch review overall assessment	• Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □		Far aboveAbove expAs expectBelow exp	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	TA time – £21.16 per hour 3 hours per week = £63.48 x 39 weeks £2,475 HLTA cost - £30.12 per hour 9 hours per week =£271 x 39 weeks = £10, 572	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □ £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □ £	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£13,047					
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£ TA time – £21.16 per hour 3 hours per week = £63.48 x 39 weeks £2,475 HLTA cost - £30.12 per hour	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	£ Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	£ Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	

£10, 572 Training costs - for subscription/training Resources - £450 subscription		
actual £13,497 nditure:		

Intervention:	Curriculum enrichment to help close Cultural Capital gaps						
Category:	Wider strategies						
Intended outcomes:	Children will attend a wide range of clubs, tr activities to enrich their school curriculum ar enhance life experiences resulting in increas confidence and self-esteem.	d Success criteria: Parent and state		Parent and sta	y PP is consistent and pupil voice is positive aff feedback evidences increased and self-esteem.		
Staff lead:	Anita Hensley Claire Callaghan 2023 onwards						
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: A wide range of activities, clubs and sporting competitions will be on offer at lunch time and after school. (LEGO club, art therapy, calm club, colour and chat, construction, gardening, games, choir) PPG will be used to pay for all trips and external visitors to school including the Y6 residential trip to close the cultural gap and ensure that all children can talk about the same life experiences they have shared upon return to school.	year 2 (in touch rev A wide ra sporting of lunch time art therap construct PPG will external versidential and ensure the same	Will implement this in light of the year 1 ariew): Inge of activities, club competitions will be e and after school. (by, calm club, colour ion, gardening, gambe used to pay for a visitors to school incal trip to close the cure that all children color return to school	annual light- abs and on offer at (LEGO club, and chat, nes, choir) all trips and cluding the Y6 altural gap can talk about ey have	Year 3 How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	PP children have engaged in a range of lunchtime and after school clubs, as well as competitive sports events.		
Light-touch review	Children have participated in trips to RAF Cosford, Stone Age Workshops, enjoyed a visit from Mr Egypt to bring curriculum topics to life and a visit from the Animal Man to see and handle a wide range of animals and creatures. They also took part in Coronation Dance workshops and developed life skills through First Aid workshops.		
notes	Children also attended an enrichment activity at Clip n Climb and an aspirational STEM day.		
	PP children in Y6 took part in the Laches Wood residential trip.		
	These activities have enabled our PP children to take part in a range of activities to provide hands on life experiences, develop sports skills and demonstrate their talents (we have one particularly gifted and talented cricketer) attend aspirational events to try and close the cultural gap.		
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □
	 As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	Below expectations □ Far below expectations □	 Below expectations □ Far below expectations □

	Year 1	£ TA costs – adult cover visits TA time – £21.16 per hour Trips, visitors, clubs -	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □
Anticipated expenditure		SLE 1 PP paid Leo's Den 1 session per week at £8 for whole year = £312 Standon Bowers - £180 x 5 pupils = £900	Year 2	£	Year 3	£
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£1212				
		TA costs – adult cover visits TA	Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	time – £21.16 per hour Trips, visitors, clubs - SLE 1 PP paid Leo's Den 1 session per week at £8 for whole year = £312 Standon Bowers - £180 x 5 pupils = £900	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □

Total	actual
exper	nditure:

£ 1212

Intervention:	Commando Joe's bespoke enrichment programme						
Category:	Wider strategies						
Intended outcomes:	Children will participate in a wide range of a and experiences that will instil a motivation to develop resilience and confidence		o learn, Success critoria: evidenced in pr		nore engaged in their learning and this is progress. Children speak positively about ces and are more engaged in school life and		
Staff lead:	Anita Hensley	Claire (Callaghan 2023 c	onwards			
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementation	An external provider will deliver a bespoke programme to targeted KS2 children with a focus on developing resilience, confidence and motivation. Barriers to learning and engagement in school activities will be challenged through a wide range of activities in and out of the classroom. This intervention will commence with meetings with the provider and staff to ensure that the aim and the delivery of the programme is understood. The programme will focus on selfmotivation, self-confidence, resilience and	programma focus of confidence learning a activities range of a classroom commence and staff delivery of the programma focus of the progra	An external provider will deliver a bespoke programme to targeted KS2 children with a focus on developing resilience, confidence and motivation. Barriers to learning and engagement in school activities will be challenged through a wide range of activities in and out of the classroom. This intervention will commence with meetings with the provider and staff to ensure that the aim and the delivery of the programme is understood. The programme will focus on selfmotivation, self-confidence, resilience and				

	building character through a range of approaches both physical and emotional.	building character through a range of approaches both physical and emotional.	
Light-touch review notes	Annual review notes: Unfortunately, this has not been able to take place this academic year-however, key children have been identified and dates have been agreed with the provider for a September start.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is per Far above expected As expected Below expected Far below expected	oectations □ ations □ □ ations <mark>□</mark>	ons □ • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □			expectations expectations ectations ectations ectations expectations expectations expectations
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ Did not happen so no cost	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ☐ Decrease ☐ Remain the same ☐ £12,000	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □ £
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£10,000				
	ii c		Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure		Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£0				